

The analysis of the errors of the dynamic interferometry method in the control of local surface inhomogeneities of the nanometer level of the profiles of optical parts

D. G. Denisov, M. N. Ustyugova, V. E. Frolova, D. A. Mashoshin,
and I. I. Gafarov

Bauman Moscow Technical University
5 2-nd Baumanskaya st., Moscow, 105005, Russia
E-mail: denisov_dg@mail.ru

Received February 10, 2022

The method of dynamic interferometry for monitoring local deviations of the nanometer level of the surfaces of optical parts from a given profile has been developed, scientifically substantiated and experimentally confirmed, based on the algorithm for calculating the objective function - the spectral density of one-dimensional correlation function (PSCF1 from English. PSD (Power Spectral Density One Dimension)). Theoretical and experimental studies are presented on the determination of the standard deviation (RMSD) of local deviations of the surfaces of optical parts with a diameter of up to 100 mm and up to 1000 mm, taking into account the non-excluded systematic and random components of the errors in determining the objective function.

Keywords: optical control, interferometry, surface measurements, spectral density of the correlation function, analysis of systematic methodological and random errors, edge effect, frequency "leakage" effect.

DOI: 10.51368/2307-4469-2022-10-1-71-89

REFERENCES

1. M. A. Abdulkadyrov, N. S. Dobrikov, A. P. Patrikeev, V. E. Patrikeev, and A. P. Semenov, Optical magazine **81** (12), 6 (2014).
2. M. A. Abdulkadyrov, Photonics, No. 3, 62 (2015).
3. D. G. Denisov, Development of methods and equipment for laser interference control of the shape and quality of optical surfaces of large mirrors at the stages of grinding / Thesis for the degree of candidate of technical sciences / Moscow State Technical University. N. E. Bauman. Moscow, 2010.
4. J. H. Campbell, R. A. Hawley-Fedder, and J. A. Menapace, Proc. of SPIE **5341** (2004).
DOI: 10.1117/12.538462.
5. D. G. Denisov, N. V. Baryshnikov, Ya. V. Gladysheva, V. E. Karasik, A. B. Morozov, and V. E. Patrikeev, Measurement Techniques **60** (2), 121 (2017).
6. D. G. Denisov, V. E. Karasik, and V. M. Orlov, Metrology, No. 9, 15 (2009).
7. N. V. Baryshnikov, D. G. Denisov, V. E. Karasik, and A. A. Sakharov, Method and equipment for certification control of radii of curvature of spherical surfaces optical products using a wavefront sensor / In the collection: V International Conference on Photonics and Information Optics Collection of scientific papers. 2016. p. 416–417.
8. A. G. Poleshchuk, V. N. Khomutov, A. E. Matochkin, R. K. Nasirov, and V. V. Cherkashin, Photonics, No. 4, 38 (2016).
9. A. G. Poleshchuk, V. P. Korolkov, R. K. Nasirov, V. N. Khomutov, and A. S. Konchenko, Computer optics **40** (6), 818 (2016).
DOI: 10.18287/2412-6179-2016-40-6-818-829.
10. A. Nikitin, J. Sheldakova, A. Kudryashov, G. Borsoni, D. Denisov, V. Karasik, and A. Sakharov, A device based on the shack-hartmann wave front sensor for testing wide aperture optics / In the collection: Proceedings of SPIE – The International Society for Optical Engineering 3.

Ser. "Photonic Instrumentation Engineering III" 2016. P. 97540K.

11. A. Nikitin, J. Sheldakova, A. Kudryashov, D. Denisov, V. Karasik, and A. Sakharov, Hartmannometer versus Fizeau interferometer: advantages and disadvantages / In: Proceedings of SPIE – The International Society for Optical Engineering 2. 2015. S. 936905.

12. Erkin Sidick, Proc. of SPIE **7390** (2009). DOI: 10.1117/12.823844.

13. Simon G. Alcocka, Geoff D. Ludbrook, Tommy Owenb, and Richard Dockreec, Proc. of SPIE **7801**, 102 (2010). DOI: 10.1117/12.861539.

14. A. V. Volkov, D. L. Golovashkin, L. D. Doskolovich, N. L. Kazansky, V. V. Kotlyar, V. S. Pavelyev, R. V. Skidanov, V. A. Soifer, V. S. Solovyov, G. V. Usplenev, S. I. Kharitonov, and S. N. Khonina, *Methods of computer optics*. Ed. 2nd, rev. (Fizmatlit, Moscow, 2003).

15. ISO 10110-1996. Optics drawing standards for the national ignition facility.