

An environmental radiation interaction modeling in dynamic scene simulation software

A. V. Zverev and D. E. Ipatov

Motiv NT, LLC

Bd. 1 42, b-rd Bolshoy (ter. Skolkovo Innovationny Centra), Moscow, 121205, Russia
E-mail: dipatov@motivnt.ru

Received 6.09.2023; revised 21.09.2023; accepted 27.09.2023

A path tracing method support to the existing dynamic 3D scenes simulator has been developed. This method allows obtaining physically correct images taking into account multiple interactions of electromagnetic waves with bodies. The results of several scenes calculations and a performance assessment of hardware-accelerated path tracing in the visible wavelength range are presented.

Keywords: modelling, photodetector, 3D, scene, ray tracing, path tracing, GPU, Vulkan.

DOI: 10.51368/2307-4469-2023-11-5-433-445

REFERENCES

1. Hossein Motlagh N., Taleb T. and Arouk O., IEEE Internet of Things Journal **3** (6), 899–922 (2016).
2. Horgan J. et al., 2015 IEEE 18th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems, pp. 2032–2039 (2015).
3. Loquercio A. et al., IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters **3** (2), 1088–1095 (2018).
4. Yamashita R. et al., Insights into Imaging **9** (4), 611–629 (2018).
5. Bojarski M. et al., CoRR **abs/1604.07316** (<http://arxiv.org/abs/1604.07316>) (2016).
6. Mohammed A. S., Sensors **20** (22), 6532 (2020).
7. Janai J. et al., CoRR **abs/1704.05519** (<http://arxiv.org/abs/1704.05519>) (2017).
8. Posch C. et al., Proceedings of the IEEE **102** (10), 1470–1484 (2014).
9. Chen D. G. et al., IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems **5** (1), 64–82 (2011).
10. Culurciello E., Etienne-Cummings R. and Boahen K., IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits **38** (2), 281–294 (2003).
11. Lichtsteiner P., Posch C. and Delbrück T., IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits **43** (2), 566–576 (2008).
12. Lichtsteiner P., Posch C. and Delbrück T., 2006 IEEE International Solid State Circuits Conference – Digest of Technical Papers. 2006, pp. 2060–2069.
13. Mueggler E., Huber B. and Scaramuzza D., 2014 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems, 2014, pp. 2761–2768.
14. Camuñas-Mesa L. A. et al., IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems **29** (9), 4223–4237 (2018).
15. Posch C., Matolin D. and Wohlgemant R., IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits **46** (1), 259–275 (2011).
16. Zang S. et al., IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine **14** (2), 103–111 (2019).
17. Vargas J. et al., Sensors (Basel) **21** (16), (2021).
18. Posch C. et al., IEEE Sensors Journal **9** (6), 654–664 (2009).
19. Zhu H. et al., Nature Communications **12** (2021).
20. Zahidi U. A. et al., Remote Sensing **12** (1), (2020).
21. FASSP – Dr. John Kerekes. (<https://www.cis.rit.edu/people/faculty/kerekes/fassp.html>) (2022).
22. Moorhead I. et al., Optical Engineering – OPT ENG **40**, 1896–1905 (2001).
23. Goodenough A. A., Brown, IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing **10** (11), 4818–4833 (2017).
24. Lefebvre S. et al., Proceedings of SPIE – The International Society for Optical Engineering **8050** (2011).
25. Willers C., Willers M. and Lapierre F., Proc SPIE **8187** (2011).
26. Sundberg R. L. et al., Sensors, Systems, and Next-Generation Satellites VII. **5234**, 252–261 (2004).
27. Curran A. R. and Gonda T. G. Applications of the MuSES Infrared Signature Code, Tech Report DTIC ADA457152 (2005).

28. Cota S. et al., Journal of Applied Remote Sensing **4** (2010).
29. Vaitekunas D. A. and Lawrence O. E., SPIE, Targets and Backgrounds: Characterization and Representation **3699**, 92–102 (1999).
30. Lapierre F., Archer C. and Marc A., New research results and validation on real data of the osmosis opensource infrared ship signature modeling software, (<https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:17535855>) (2009).
31. Servera J. et al., IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing **60** (2021).
32. Zverev A. V. and Ipatov D. E., Nanoindustry **16** (119), 234–242 (2023) [in Russian].
33. Zverev A. V. and Ipatov D. E., 2022 IEEE 23rd International Conference of Young Professionals in Electron Devices and Materials (EDM), 2022, pp. 15–19.
34. glTF™ 2.0 Specification, (<https://www.khronos.org/registry/glTF/specs/2.0/glTF-2.0.html>) (2022).
35. Kessenich J., Baldwin D. and Rost R. The OpenGL Shading Language, Version 4.60.7 (<https://registry.khronos.org/OpenGL/specs/gl/GLSLangSpec.4.60.pdf>) (2019).
36. Kajiya J. T., SIGGRAPH Comput. Graph. **20** (4), 143–150 (1986).
37. Akenine-Möller T. et al., Real-Time Rendering 4th Edition, Boca Raton, FL, USA : AK Peters/CRC Press, 2018.
38. DirectX graphics and gaming, (<https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/win32/directx>) (2023).
39. OpenGL – The Industrys Foundation for High Performance Graphics. (<https://www.opengl.org/>) (2023).
40. Vulkan – Industry Forged. (<https://www.khronos.org/vulkan/>) (2023).
41. Kay T. L. and Kajiya J. T., SIGGRAPH Comput. Graph **20** (4), 269–278 (1986).
42. Meister D. et al., Computer Graphics Forum **40** (2), 683–712 (2021).
43. NVIDIA Turing GPU Architecture: Graphics Reinvented : Tech Report, NVIDIA Corporation, 2018, p. 86.
44. Möller T. and Trumbore B., ACM SIGGRAPH 2005 Courses (2005).
45. Veach E. and Guibas L. J., ACM SIGGRAPH Press/Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1997, pp. 65–76.
46. Whitted T., Commun. ACM **23**, 343–349 (1980).
47. Zafar F., Olano M. and Curtis A., Proceedings of the Conference on High Performance Graphics. Saarbrücken, Germany : Eurographics Association, 2010, pp. 133–141.
48. The Cornell Box – Cornell University Program of Computer Graphics. (<http://www.graphics.cornell.edu/online/box/>) (2023).
49. NVIDIA DesignWorks Samples. (<https://github.com/nvpro-samples>) (2023).